Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/774,165	NASH, EDWARD LEE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>non-final</u>		
(1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).	(3)	
(2) Mr. Robert Pitts.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 June 2005	Time: 12pm(approx)	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 11-16		•
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant agrees to amend claims 11-16 to correct minor antecedent basis ambiguities with respect to the rods.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
that B. Thompson H		
	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)